1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION			
2	IDDINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION			
3	IN THE MATTER OF:)			
	JESSE J. McNABB)			
4	vs.) No. 04-0544			
5) PEOPLES GAS LIGHT AND COKE COMPANY)			
6)			
7	Complaint as to billing/charges in) Chicago, Illinois.)			
8	Chiqago Illinoia			
9	Chicago, Illinois October 18, 2005			
10	Met, pursuant to notice at 1:30 p.m.			
11	BEFORE:			
12	Ms. Claudia Sainsot, Administrative Law Judge.			
13	APPEARANCES:			
14	MR. JUAN OOINK 18 West Dundee			
15	Wheeling, Illinois 60090 for Jesse J. McNabb;			
16				
17	MR. MARK L. GOLDSTEIN 108 Wilmot Road Suite 330			
18	Deerfield, Illinois 60015 for Peoples Gas.			
19	_			
20	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by FRANCISCO E. CASTANEDA, CSR, License No. 084-004235			
21				
22				

1		I N D E X	
2			
3			Re- By
4	Witnesses: NONE.	Direct Cross direct	cross Examiner
5			
6			
7			
8	APPLICANT'S	E X H I B I T S For Identification NONE.	In Evidence
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

- 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT: By the
- 2 authority of the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now
- 3 call Docket 04-0544. It's a complaint of Jesse J.
- 4 McNabb versus Peoples Light and Coke Company, and it
- 5 concerns billing and charges in Chicago, Illinois.
- 6 Will the parties identify themselves for
- 7 the record please.
- MR. OOINK: Juan Ooink, O-o-i-n-k, on
- 9 behalf of the Law Offices of Steven M. Goldman on
- 10 behalf of Jesse McNabb, 18 West Dundee, Wheeling,
- 11 Illinois 60090, (847) 215-2600.
- MR. GOLDSTEIN: On behalf of the
- 13 Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company, Mark L.
- 14 Goldstein, 108 Wilmot Road, Suite 330, Deerfield,
- 15 Illinois 60015.
- 16 My telephone number is (847) 580-5480. I have with
- 17 me Mr. Brian Schmoldt. That's S-c-h-m-o-l-d-t of
- 18 Peoples Gas.
- 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:
- 20 Okay. The reason I called you both down here is that
- 21 yesterday the clerk's office informed me that we have
- 22 a little problem with this case.

- Section 10, dash, 113 of the Public
- 2 Utilities Act provides that if the Commission fails
- 3 to enter a final order upon rehearing within 150 days
- 4 after it grants rehearing, the application for
- 5 rehearing shall be deemed to have been finally
- 6 disposed of for purposes of an appeal from the order
- 7 or decision covered by the application. And I cite
- 8 220 ILCS 5, slash, 10, dash, 113.
- 9 One would think that this provision
- 10 concerns the appealability of the underlying order
- 11 regarding the hearing. However, there are appellate
- 12 court opinions that state that this language confers
- 13 on 150 days for rehearing. And I cite Liberty
- 14 Trucking versus ICC, 81 Ill. App. 3d, 466, 470 401,
- 15 N.E. 2d 581. And that's a Second District case in
- 16 1980.
- 17 And the Commission granted rehearing in
- 18 May in this case, and 150 days is approximately five
- 19 months. The trial in this case was set pursuant to
- 20 agreement for November, which is outside the five
- 21 month period.
- However, by setting the trial outside

- 1 the five months period and proceeding with this case
- 2 at a slow pace, I think both parties have
- 3 constructively waived their right to assert the
- 4 statute in question.
- 5 I did a little research. An implied
- 6 waiver of a legal right arises when the conduct of
- 7 the person against whom waiver is asserted is
- 8 inconsistent with any intention other than to waive
- 9 that right. Home Insurance Company versus Cincinnati
- 10 Insurance Company, 213 Illinois 2d, 307, 326 to 27,
- 11 821 N.E. 2d 269. And that is a 2004 case.
- 12 When is -- as is the case here, there's
- 13 only one reasonable inference that can be drawn from
- 14 the facts. Whether there is a waiver is a question
- of law, and I cite Liberty Mutual Insurance Company
- 16 versus Westfield Insurance Company, 301 Ill. App. 3d
- 17 49, 53, 703 N.E. 2d 439, First District 1998.
- 18 Both parties are presumed to know the
- 19 law, and I cite People versus Grever, G-r-e-v-e-r,
- 20 353 Ill. App. 3d 736, 772, 819 N.E. 2d 6, and that's
- 21 a Second District case 2004.
- Both parties, therefore, are presumed

- 1 to have chosen to give up this right when proceeding
- with the trial date outside the 150 days.
- Well, that's why I brought you down here
- 4 to tell you that so we're all clear when we go to
- 5 trial what's going to happen.
- 6 MR. OOINK: I understand.
- 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:
- 8 Okay.
- 9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Peoples Gas will be
- 10 prepared for the evidentiary hearing on November
- 11 17th. I'm not certain that that constitutes a waiver
- of any rights, but we will abide by the Judge's
- 13 ruling and be prepared to go forward on the 17th of
- 14 November.
- 15 We will have Mr. Steven Kroll here pursuant to
- 16 subpoena.
- 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE SAINSOT:
- 18 Okay. Thank you.
- 19 (Whereupon, further proceedings
- 20 in the above-entitled matter
- were continued to November 17,
- 22 2005.)